

# **Is PET-CT an accurate method for the differential diagnosis between Chondroma and Chondrosarcoma?**

Reynaldo Jesus-Garcia, MD (1)  
Akemi Osawa, MD (2)  
Renee Zon Filippi, MD (3)  
Dan Carai Maia Viola, MD (1)  
Marcos Korukian, MD (1)  
Guilherme de Carvalho Campos Neto (2)  
Jairo Wagner (2)

This work was conducted at Albert Einstein Israeli Hospital. (1) Orthopedic Oncology Department; (2) Nuclear Medicine Department; (3) Surgical Pathology Department, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

## ***ABSTRACT***

The differential diagnosis between chondroma and intraosseous chondrosarcoma is based on imaging or clinical exams, but only a biopsy can confirm diagnosis. The aim of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of PET-CT in differentially diagnosing chondroma and chondrosarcoma.

From October 2009 to May 2015, 40 patients with cartilaginous bone lesions in the extremities (13 men and 27 women; 32.5% and 67.5%, respectively) were prospectively included in the study. Patients ranged in age from 21 to 68 years, with a mean age of 45 years. Lesions were located in the long bones: in the proximal humerus in 24 (60.0%) patients, in the femoral shaft in two (5.0%), in the distal femur in nine (22.5%), in the proximal tibia in one (2.5%) and in the proximal fibula in four (10.0%) patients.

Among the 40 patients studied, sixteen (40.0%) had SUVmax less than or equal to 2.0, were diagnosed as chondroma and were treated conservatively. Follow-up ranged from 6 to 68 months, averaging 35 months. Twenty four (60.0%) patients with SUVmax greater than 2.0 were diagnosed as chondrosarcoma and underwent surgery.

The estimated prevalence of chondrosarcoma was 0.5652 (95% Confidence interval [CI] = 0.3487 to 0.7611), with a SUVmax sensitivity of 0.9 (95% CI = 0.7165 to 1) and specificity of 0.8 (95% CI = 0.3526 to 0.9190). The negative predictive value (also called true negative) was 0.889 (95% CI = 0.5609 to 1) and the positive predictive value was 0.818 (95% CI = 53.69 to .9502).

We conclude that PET-CT is an objective quantitative method for differentiating between chondromas and chondrosarcomas. Moreover, SUVmax values exhibit high sensitivity, high specificity, high positive predictive value and high negative predictive value in this differential diagnosis.